



Earnings Quality

Ray Ball

CARE Conference

8 April 2006



CARE Participant Categories

- Young
- Middle aged
- Old
- Fossil
- Long since beyond it



Earnings Quality Issues Are Important

1. *Quality* issues underlie much accounting practice, research and teaching.
2. *Quality* makes us consider both demand (users) and supply (preparers) simultaneously.
3. *Earnings* quality is highly correlated with financial reporting quality generally.



1. Quality Issues Are Pervasive

➤ Quality issues underlie much accounting practice, research and teaching.

➤ Examples:

- ✓ Price association studies: Information content and timeliness as measures of quality.
- ✓ Costly contracting studies: Usefulness in debt, compensation contracts as indicators of quality.
- ✓ The earnings management bubble.



2. Addressing Both Users and Preparers

➤ Quality makes us simultaneously consider:

- Users (demand); and
- Preparers (supply).

... both in concept and measurement.

➤ My interpretation of “quality” in financial reporting is similar to quality in any industry.

➤ GM cannot (should not) assess the quality of its autos without knowing what is important to its customers.

➤ The financial reporting industry is no exception.



3. Earnings Quality Is an Index of Financial Reporting Quality Generally

➤ Earnings quality flows onto:

- Balance sheets; and
- Cash flow statements (lesser degree)

⊕ Our focus on *earnings* quality is not due only to the Wall Street focus on earnings.



Problems with Earnings Quality as a Concept

1. Quality means something different to different users.
 2. Optimal financial reporting cannot be considered in isolation from disclosure.
 3. Optimal quality depends on cost.
- Jake will discuss related measurement issues.



1. Quality Differs by User

- ⊗ Relevance of market-book RSQs at the individual-security level differs between:
 - Well diversified portfolios; and
 - Poorly diversified portfolios.

 - ⊗ Debt and equity market demands differ:
 - Financial reporting (recognition) versus disclosure; and
 - Gains versus losses
- ⇒ One cannot discuss quality without a user group in mind.
- ⇒ “General purpose” financial statements are a myth.



2. Jointly Optimal Financial Reporting and Disclosure

- Assume: Financial reporting quality and disclosure quality are not independent.
- Then optimal financial reporting cannot be considered in isolation from its effect on disclosure (and v.v.).
- Example: Relative to simply counting actual CF outcomes, bringing more forward-looking information (revisions of CF expectations) into earnings could:
 1. Increase earnings quality measures (e.g., RSQ);
 2. Reduce disclosure quality (less accountability); and
 3. Reduce aggregate information quality.



3. Optimal Quality Depends on Cost

- Academics, accounting practitioners and analysts are cheerleaders for higher quality.
- We are demand-side analysts of financial reporting.
- However, companies (and those contracting with them) pay the cost.
- I am unaware of serious efforts to model and/or measure the financial reporting production function – i.e., the supply schedule.
- Sarbanes-Oxley and other large regulatory changes give us an ideal and timely experiment for identifying and measuring costs (supply).
- Do we as a group want to be associated with making the US financial markets less competitive?



Vacuous Summary

-
- All concepts have strengths and weaknesses.
 - Earnings quality is no exception.