# Forecasting, Risk, and Valuation: Accounting for the Future

Stephen Penman Columbia University

2010 CARE Conference

### **Two Aspects of Valuation**

For a one-period payoff,

$$P_{t} = \frac{E_{t}(X_{t+1}) - Cov_{t}(X_{t+1}, y_{t+1})}{1 + r_{f}}$$

$$P_t = \frac{E_t(X_{t+1})}{1+r}$$

- 1. Forecasting payoffs
- 2. Discounting for risk

# **Two Points in the Paper**

Forecasting is a matter of accounting

• Risk is a matter of accounting

# Three Features that Link Accounting to Forecasting

1. Accounting links to cash flows

$$X_{t+\tau} = Earnings_{t+\tau} - \Delta(B_{t+\tau})$$

2. Accounting allocates to periods

$$\sum Earnings = \sum X$$

3. Accounting builds to earnings and book value from more basic elements according to fixed structural relations

# **Accounting Feature 1: Accounting Links to Cash Flows**

No-arbitrage price (with constant discount rate):

$$P_t = \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{t+\tau}}{\left(1+r\right)^{\tau}}$$

Account such that  $d_{t+\tau} = Earnings_{t+\tau} - (B_{t+\tau} - B_{t+\tau-1}),$ 

$$P_{t} = B_{t} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{Earnings_{t+\tau} - rB_{t+\tau-1}}{(1+r)^{\tau}}$$

# Implications

- Accounting is not just a matter of supplying the information to forecast future cash flows, but also the numbers to be forecasted
- For infinite-horizon forecasting, accounting does not matter
- Practical forecasting and valuation is done over finite horizons; the accounting then defines the forecasting

#### **Finite Horizon Forecasting**

$$P_{t} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \frac{d_{t+\tau}}{(1+\tau)^{\tau}} + \frac{P_{t+T}}{(1+\tau)^{T}}$$

$$P_{t} = B_{t} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \frac{Earnings_{t+\tau} - rB_{t+\tau-1}}{(1+\tau)^{\tau}} + \frac{P_{t+\tau} - B_{t+\tau}}{(1+\tau)^{T}}$$

 $\text{Error} = P_{t+T} - B_{t+T}$ 

Compare cash accounting with accrual accounting Compare mark-to-market accounting with historical cost accounting

#### **Balance Sheet and Income Statement Error**

Given no-arbitrage,

$$P_{t+T} = \frac{P_{t+T+1} + d_{t+T+1} - P_{t+T}}{r}$$

With 
$$d_{t+T+1} = Earnings_{t+T-1} - (B_{t+T+1} - B_{t+T})$$
,

$$P_{t+T} = \frac{Earnings_{t+T+1} + P_{t+T+1} - B_{t+T+1} - (P_{t+T} - B_{t+T})}{r}$$

If change in balance sheet error = 0,

$$P_{t+T} = \frac{Earnings_{t+T+1}}{r}$$

# Implications

- 1. Omissions from the balance sheet are not necessarily error: the income statement recovers the error
- 2. Forecasting error is not merely error in the given forecasting technique, but valuation error from the accounting used in the forecasting.
- 3. Relevance and reliability fall into place: minimize valuation forecast error
- 4. Earnings forecasting is done by forecasting the income statement and balance sheet in the future

Note: one can accommodate constant expected change in premiums (Penman 1998):

$$P_{t+T+1} - B_{t+T+1} = g(P_{t+T} - B_{t+T})$$

# **Accounting Feature 2: Accounting Allocates to Periods**

Accounting determines the transition from the present to the future;  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$  are accounting parameters:

$$Earn_{t+1} = \beta_1 Earn_t + \beta_2 B_t + \beta_3 d_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Special cases:  

$$\beta_1 = 0 \quad \beta_2 = r$$
, and  $\beta_3 = 0 \iff P_t = B_t$   
 $\beta_1 = 1 + r \quad \beta_2 = 0$ , and  $\beta_3 = -r \iff P_t = \frac{(1+r)Earnings_t}{r} - d_t$ 

Ohlson (1995) shows that the general case is a weighted average of these two cases, with the M&M consistent weights determined by the accounting.

# **Accounting Feature 3: Accounting structure dictates forecast structure**

Fixed accounting relations build the forecasts of earnings and book values. For example,

Earnings = Revenues - Expenses $= \Delta \text{Assets} - \Delta \text{Liabilities} + \text{Net dividend}$ 

Forecasts are build from more elementary components

# Implications

- Forecasting cannot go out of income statement and balance sheet bounds, nor the bounds of the components
  - disciplining speculation
  - check on statistical forecasts
- Ball and Watts martingale  $\rightarrow$  Financial Statement Analysis
- Accounting modeling first, statistics modeling second
- Components connect to the business (Feng Li)
- Ability to model value implications of alternative paths
- Ability to model extreme outcomes (Sugihara, Meyer, Einmahl, Posner)

# **Accounting and Risk**

• Asset pricing sees risk and required return in terms of price variation

eg., 
$$Beta = Cov(R_{it}, R_{mt})$$

 Valuation sees risk in terms of accounting outcomes differing from expectation: the distribution of forecast error

#### **Incorporating Accounting: "Cash flow betas"**

Replace  $Cov(R_{it}, R_{mt})$  with  $Cov(Earn_{it}, Earn_{mt})$ 

Problem: The Accounting!

Only works for the two special cases:

1. 
$$P_t = B_t$$
  
2.  $P_t = \frac{(1+r)Earnings_t}{r} - d_t$ 

# 4. Another Accounting Feature

- Accounting defers earnings recognition under uncertainty
- Deferred earnings creates earnings growth

**Conservative Accounting!** 

Can we think of risk (and the required return, *r*) in terms of expected earnings being at risk, but also in terms of earnings growth?

# **Returns to Buying Earnings and Book Values**

#### For 1963-2006:

		. E/P Portfolio				<u> </u>
		1	2	3	4	5
	1	4.3%	10.9%	14.2%	17.1%	19.7%
B/P	2	8.8%	9.1%	13.0%	16.0%	22.1%
Port-	3	14.4%	8.5%	12.1%	17.0%	21.6%
folio	4	15.5%	13.4%	14.7%	18.0%	24.3%
	5	26.4%	20.1%	20.2%	22.6%	30.0%

- ▶ The additional returns to E/P are explained by B/P indicating risky growth
- A property of the accounting: conservative accounting depress earnings relative to book value when there is growth. Risk does not add to price. So, B/P is higher for a given E/P.

S. Penman and F. Reggiani, "Buying Earnings and Book Value: Accounting for Risk and Growth"

# A Conjecture

> If I forecast earnings, maybe I have accommodated risk?

$$P_{t} = \frac{E_{t}(X_{t+1}) - Cov_{t}(X_{t+1}, y_{t+1})}{1 + r_{f}}$$

- But it depends on the accounting!
- Develop asset pricing models where earnings and earnings and earnings growth are at risk, but the accounting is accommodated. At a minimum, earnings and book value must come into it.